

Yury Mazin
743 Los Robles Ave
Palo Alto CA 94306

Aug 29th 2018

Via ECFS
Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

**Re: In the Matter of Petition of USTelecom for Forbearance Pursuant to
47 U.S.C. Section 160(c); WC Docket No. 18-141; Category 1**

Dear FCC,

We came a long way from the time not so long ago, when the same company, AT&T, was charging through the roof for pathetic dial-up access, and then, later, again, charging through the roof, for a basic, 256 Kbps basic DSL service. AT&T is trying to turn time back by trying to kill any competition in DSL sector, and become a de-facto monopoly, again.

I think, we all need to see what AT&T is trying to do for its face value - they are trying to throw away the telecommunication act of 1996, which was aimed to remove monopolies, such as AT&T, in telecommunication sector, and promote healthy competition.

I firmly believe that AT&T has hard time providing their inferior and overpriced services in fair open market economy, and, therefore, do everything they can to eliminate any competition in the broadband sector. Bad experience being AT&T customer for me goes far beyond the broadband. When I first became a mobile user, my first mobile carrier was AT&T. After more than 4 years of being a frustrated AT&T wireless customer, I switched to another mobile service provider, and never looked back - it was a day and night in quality of service, support, and pricing. Similarly, for a long time I had a bad experience with AT&T a long-distance phone provider. I finally gave up, and switched all my services to sonic.net and I have being a loyal sonic.net customer ever since.

Personally, I need reliable DSL access since both me and my wife have to telecommute from home, at least one time a week.

I think of becoming an AT&T customer as a nightmare scenario for me and for other people, and as a dark day for the free and open market.

Yury Mazin